

Operator product expansions as a consequence of phase space properties

Henning Bostelmann*

Universität Göttingen, Institut für Theoretische Physik, 37077 Göttingen, Germany

(Dated: August 7, 2005)

The paper presents a model-independent, nonperturbative proof of operator product expansions in quantum field theory. As an input, a recently proposed phase space condition is used that allows a precise description of point field structures. Based on the product expansions, we also define and analyze normal products (in the sense of Zimmermann).

PACS numbers: 03.70.+k, 11.10.Cd, 03.65.Pm

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum fields, representing observables sharply localized in space-time, generally are quite singular objects.¹ In particular, their products at coinciding space-time points are ill-defined and lead to divergences. Since such products play a vital rôle in the definition and classification of models (by means of path integrals or field equations), a thorough understanding and precise description of their singularities is of considerable interest.

A step toward this goal was taken by Wilson,² who proposed that a product of two fields $\phi(x)$ and $\phi'(y)$ should be expandable into a series,

$$\phi(x) \cdot \phi'(y) = \sum_j c_j(x-y) \phi_j\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right), \quad (1.1)$$

where $\phi_j(\cdot)$ are local fields as well, and $c_j(\cdot)$ are (generalized) functions which show singularities at the origin. This *operator product expansion* should then be valid at short distances, i.e., in the limit $x \rightarrow y$.

The operator product expansion provides a detailed description of the product's singular behavior. It may furthermore serve to define “normal products” of fields, in generalization of the Wick product. Any field ϕ_j in Eq. (1.1) whose coefficient $c_j(\cdot)$ does not vanish in the limit may be taken as a candidate for such a normal product.³

However, it remains to clear up in which sense and under which conditions Eq. (1.1) holds precisely. The expansion has originally been proposed in perturbation theory^{2,3} and has widely been used as a tool in Lagrangian field theory.⁴ Investigations in the framework of axiomatic field theory⁵ aimed at a rigorous proof; it seems that the Wightman axioms are too weak to ensure existence of the expansion structure, and that additional conditions are needed. Unfortunately, these conditions could not be connected directly to physical properties of the theory. More detailed results are available in conformal field theory,⁶ especially in $1+1$ -dimensional models.⁷ Here the expansion is a consequence of conformal symmetry. These methods cannot be carried over to physically more realistic situations, though.

This paper presents an approach that explains operator product expansions in a model-independent way, based on physically motivated assumptions. We make use of the theory's phase space behavior, which has recently been shown to have a strong impact on the field content.^{8,9} A phase space condition proposed in Ref. 9 can be taken as a physically natural assumption to ensure a regular short distance behavior. On these grounds, we will establish operator product expansions rigorously in the sense of an asymptotic series. Furthermore, we will define normal products of fields and investigate their properties.

In Sec. II, we recall the relevant facts and results on the point field structure established in Ref. 9, which lie at the root of our investigation. Section III defines products of pointlike fields and gives a proof of their product expansion. Normal products and their properties are discussed in Sec. IV. We end with a brief outlook on the classification of models in Sec. V.

The present work is based on the author's thesis;¹⁰ it presents an abbreviated and partially improved version of material developed there. The reader is referred to the original text for a more detailed exposition, especially regarding mathematical aspects and the development of proofs.

*Electronic mail: bostelm@theorie.physik.uni-goettingen.de

II. POINTLIKE FIELDS AND PHASE SPACE PROPERTIES

It has been outlined in Refs. 8 and 11 that a strong connection exists between the phase space properties of a quantum field theory and its point field structure. A natural phase space condition, similar but not identical to the usual compactness or nuclearity conditions,¹² was proposed in Ref. 9 and shown to allow a precise description of the theory's field content. We will give a brief review of the results established in Ref. 9, mainly to fix our notation.

We start from a quantum field theory in the framework of Local Quantum Physics¹³ in the vacuum sector, i.e., given by a net of observable algebras $\mathcal{O} \mapsto \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O})$, where $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{M} := \mathbb{R}^{s+1}$ are open bounded regions in Minkowski space of $s+1$ space-time dimensions, and $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O})$ are W^* -algebras acting on some common Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. We assume the standard axioms: isotony, locality, covariance with respect to some strongly continuous unitary representation $U(x, \Lambda)$ of the connected Poincaré group $\mathfrak{P}_+^\uparrow$, and the spectrum condition (positivity of energy). We will denote the elements of the translation subgroup of $\mathfrak{P}_+^\uparrow$ as $U(x) = \exp(iP_\mu x^\mu)$, where $H := P_0$ is the Hamiltonian; its spectral projections will be written as $P_H(E)$. Σ stands for the predual space of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$, i.e. the space of weak-* continuous linear functionals on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the positive normed elements of which represent the physical states of the system.

As we restrict our attention to point fields fulfilling polynomial H -bounds (cf. Ref. 1), we consider the space

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma) := \bigcap_{\ell > 0} R^\ell \Sigma R^\ell, \quad \text{where } R = (1 + H)^{-1}, \quad (2.1)$$

equipped with the topology of simultaneous convergence in the norms $\|\sigma\|^{(\ell)} := \|R^{-\ell} \sigma R^{-\ell}\|$, $\ell > 0$. We also make use of its dual space $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma)^*$, equipped with the weak topology; its elements are linear forms ϕ which fulfill

$$\|\phi\|^{(\ell)} := \|R^\ell \phi R^\ell\| < \infty \quad \text{for some } \ell > 0. \quad (2.2)$$

For linear maps $\alpha : \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma)^* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma)^*$, we sometimes consider

$$\|\alpha\|^{(\ell, \ell')} = \|R^{\ell'} \alpha(R^{-\ell} \cdot R^{-\ell}) R^{\ell'}\| \quad (\ell, \ell' > 0) \quad (2.3)$$

in case this expression is finite.

Let Ψ be the space of linear continuous maps from $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma)$ to Σ , where Σ is equipped with the norm topology. For our setup, the inclusion map $\Xi \in \Psi$ plays a central rôle,

$$\Xi : \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma) \rightarrow \Sigma, \quad \sigma \mapsto \sigma. \quad (2.4)$$

In order to analyze this map in the short distance limit, we refer to the algebras $\mathfrak{A}(r) := \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O}_r)$, where $\mathcal{O}_r$ is the standard double cone of radius $r > 0$ centered at the origin, then define for $\psi \in \Psi$,

$$\|\psi\|_r^{(\ell)} := \|\psi[\mathfrak{A}(r)]\|^{(\ell)} = \sup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \sup_{A \in \mathfrak{A}(r)} \frac{|\psi(R^\ell \sigma R^\ell)(A)|}{\|\sigma\| \|A\|}, \quad (2.5)$$

which is finite for sufficiently large $\ell > 0$, and for $\gamma \geq 0$ consider the pseudometrics

$$\delta_\gamma(\psi) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r^{-\gamma} \|\psi\|_r^{(\ell)} \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0} 0 \text{ for some } \ell > 0, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

We say that the net $\mathfrak{A}$ fulfills the *microscopic phase space condition* if for every $\gamma \geq 0$, there exists a map $\psi \in \Psi$ of finite rank such that

$$\delta_\gamma(\Xi - \psi) = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

It is not overly difficult to see that this condition holds for a wide range of free theories (see the Appendix of Ref. 9), and it seems plausible that the same condition is fulfilled in any model with a sufficiently regular short distance behavior. Its consequences are the following: There exists an increasing sequence of finite-dimensional vector spaces $\Phi_\gamma \subset \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma)^*$, $\gamma \geq 0$, the elements of which are Wightman fields located at $x = 0$. Their union $\bigcup_\gamma \Phi_\gamma =: \Phi_{\text{FH}}$ exhausts the field content of the theory as investigated by Fredenhagen and Hertel.¹ Given $\phi \in \Phi_{\text{FH}}$, we can find a sequence $A_r \in \mathfrak{A}(r)$ ($r > 0$) such that

$$\|\phi - A_r\|^{(\ell)} = O(r), \quad \|A_r\| = O(r^{-k}) \quad (2.8)$$

as $r \rightarrow 0$, where $k, \ell > 0$ can be chosen uniformly for all $\phi \in \Phi_\gamma$, with γ being fixed. If $p_\gamma : \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma)^* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma)^*$ is any continuous projection onto Φ_γ , and $p_{\gamma*}$ its predual, then we find

$$\delta_\gamma(\Xi - \Xi \circ p_{\gamma*}) = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

[Note that a continuous projection can be found for any given finite-dimensional subspace $V \subset \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma)^*$. We will require all such projections considered in the following to be continuous and refrain from noting this fact repeatedly.]

Every Φ_γ is invariant under a certain class of symmetry transformations, including Lorentz transformations, dilations and inner symmetries, provided that they exist as symmetries of the underlying net $\mathfrak{A}$. Linear differential operators act as maps $\Phi_{\text{FH}} \rightarrow \Phi_{\text{FH}}$ as well, but typically map Φ_γ into some larger space $\Phi_{\gamma'}$.

III. PRODUCT EXPANSIONS

Our task will now be to establish operator product expansions, assuming that the theory under discussion fulfills the microscopic phase space condition. We will prove the expansion in the form

$$\phi(x) \cdot \phi'(y) = \sum_j c_j(x, y) \phi_j(0) \quad (x, y \rightarrow 0), \quad (3.1)$$

which can easily be transformed into the more familiar form (1.1) and vice versa.

The proof is based on the following heuristic idea: Let $A, A' \in \mathfrak{A}(r)$ be two *bounded* localized observables, and $A(x) := U(x)AU(x)^*$, etc. Choosing a projector p_γ onto Φ_γ , the phase space property (2.9) can roughly be expressed as $\Xi \approx \Xi \circ p_{\gamma*}$ at small distances. This means

$$A(x)A'(y) \approx p_\gamma(A(x)A'(y)) \quad (3.2)$$

if x, y and r are small enough. Expanding $p_\gamma = \sum_j \sigma_j(\cdot) \phi_j$ in a finite basis, this reads

$$A(x)A'(y) \approx \sum_j \sigma_j(A(x)A'(y)) \phi_j \quad (3.3)$$

in the limit $x, y, r \rightarrow 0$. If now $A \approx \phi$ and $A' \approx \phi'$ in this limit, we can define $c_j(x, y) := \sigma_j(A(x)A'(y))$ and arrive at the expansion (3.1).

In order to transfer this heuristic idea into a rigorous expression for the product of pointlike fields, we will first define the product of two fields [the left-hand side of Eq. (3.1)] for spacelike-separated x and y , namely by means of approximating them with bounded observables; this is done in Sec. III A. On these grounds, we will then establish the product expansion in Sec. III B. Some generalizations, such as products of more than two factors and products for non-spacelike-separated arguments, will be discussed in Sec. III C.

A. Spacelike products

In the following, let $\phi, \phi' \in \Phi_{\text{FH}}$ be fixed. As a first step towards the expansion (3.1), we will give meaning to the *a priori* ill-defined product $\phi(x) \cdot \phi'(y)$. This is certainly possible in a distributive sense (as a product of smeared Wightman fields); however, we shall use a more direct approach here. For $(x - y)^2 < 0$, we will define $\phi(x) \cdot \phi'(y)$ as an element of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma)^*$, i.e., as a sesquilinear form.

This will be achieved by an approximation with bounded operators. We choose sequences $A_r \rightarrow \phi$, $A'_r \rightarrow \phi'$ as specified in Eq. (2.8). Then it seems natural to define

$$\phi(x) \cdot \phi'(y) := \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} A_r(x)A'_r(y), \quad (x - y)^2 < 0. \quad (3.4)$$

We will use methods from complex analysis to establish the existence of this limit and to control the convergence dependent on x and y .

To this end, let $\sigma = (\xi | \cdot | \xi')$ be an energy-bounded functional, i.e. $\xi^{(\prime)} \in P_H(E)\mathcal{H}$ for some $E > 0$. We assume $\|\sigma\| = 1$. Leaving x fixed for the moment, we consider the function

$$f_r(z) = \sigma(U(x)A_r U(z)A'_r U(-x - z)), \quad z \in \mathcal{M}. \quad (3.5)$$

f is the boundary value of a function analytic on $\mathcal{M} + i\mathcal{V}_+$, where $\mathcal{V}_+$ denotes the open forward light cone. This is seen from

$$f_r(z) = \sigma(U(x)A_r \exp(iP_\mu z^\mu)A'_r U(x)^* \exp(-iP_\mu z^\mu)), \quad (3.6)$$

using the spectrum condition and the strong continuity of translations. [Note that the factor $\exp(-iP_\mu z^\mu)$ does not disturb analyticity here, since σ is energy-bounded.] We can estimate the modulus of f_r on $\mathcal{M} + i\mathcal{V}_+$ as

$$|f_r(z)| \leq \|P_H(E)R^{-\ell}\| \|R^\ell A_r R^\ell\| \|R^{-\ell} \exp(iP_\mu z^\mu)R^{-\ell}\| \|R^\ell A'_r R^\ell\| \|R^{-\ell} P_H(E)\| \|P_H(E) \exp(-iP_\mu z^\mu)\|. \quad (3.7)$$

Here $\|R^\ell A_r R^\ell\|$ stays bounded in the limit $r \rightarrow 0$ if ℓ is sufficiently large. A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\|R^{-2\ell} \exp(iP_\mu z^\mu)\| \leq \|\text{Im } z\|^{-2\ell} \cdot c_1, \quad \|P_H(E) \exp(-iP_\mu z^\mu)\| \leq e^{c_2 E \|\text{Im } z\|} \quad \text{for } \|\text{Im } z\| \leq 1, \quad (3.8)$$

where $c_1, c_2 > 0$ can be chosen constant if $\text{Im } z$ varies over some open convex cone $\mathcal{C}$ with $\bar{\mathcal{C}} \subset \mathcal{V}_+$, which we keep fixed in the following. (All c_i will be positive constants in what follows.) Thus we have

$$|f_r(z)| \leq (1+E)^{2\ell} e^{c_2 E \|\text{Im } z\|} \|\text{Im } z\|^{-2\ell} \cdot c_3 \quad \text{for } r \leq 1, \text{Im } z \in \mathcal{C}, \|\text{Im } z\| \leq 1. \quad (3.9)$$

By these and similar arguments, it follows in particular that $\{f_r\}_{r \leq 1}$ is a normal family of analytic functions on $\mathcal{M} + i\mathcal{V}_+$, i.e., uniformly bounded on compact subsets.

In just the same way, we may represent

$$\hat{f}_r(z) = \sigma(U(x+z)A'_r U(-z)A_r U(-x)) \quad (3.10)$$

as a boundary value of functions analytic on $\mathcal{M} - i\mathcal{V}_+$; similar bounds as in Eq. (3.9) can be established. If we choose z real and spacelike, the operators A_r and $A'_r(z)$ will commute for small r , hence we find

$$f_r(z) = \hat{f}_r(z) \quad \text{for } z \text{ real, } z^2 < 0, \text{ and small } r. \quad (3.11)$$

This allows us to apply the edge of the wedge theorem to f_r and $\hat{f}_r$: They are parts of a single function f_r analytic on $\mathcal{M} \pm i\mathcal{V}_+$ and on some complex neighborhood of those real points where (3.11) holds.

Let us investigate this common analytic continuation more closely. We choose some fixed $v \in \mathcal{M}$, $u \in \mathcal{C}$ with $v^2 < 0$, $\|u\| = 1$. For r small enough, the function

$$f_{r,v,u}(t) = \begin{cases} f_r(v+tu) & \text{if } \text{Im } t \geq 0, \\ \hat{f}_r(v+tu) & \text{if } \text{Im } t < 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

is analytic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ and continuous on some real neighborhood of $t = 0$, which is, e.g., given by

$$|t| < \frac{1}{2}d(v), \quad \text{where } d(v) := \min\{1, \text{dist}(v, \partial\mathcal{V}_+ \cup -\partial\mathcal{V}_+)\}, \quad (3.13)$$

assuming $r < \frac{1}{4}d(v)$. Applying Painlevé's theorem,¹⁴ we see that $f_{r,v,u}$ is indeed analytic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{t \mid \text{Im } t = 0, |t| \geq \frac{1}{2}d(v)\}$. From Eq. (3.9), we can derive the estimate

$$|f_{r,v,u}(t)| \leq c_4(1+E)^{2\ell} e^{c_2 E |\text{Im } t|} |\text{Im } t|^{-2\ell} \quad \text{if } |t| < \frac{1}{2}d(v), \quad r \leq 1. \quad (3.14)$$

A Phragmén-Lindelöf-type of argument (cf. Lemma 5.7 of Ref. 10) then leads us to

$$|f_{r,v,u}(t)| \leq c_5(1+E)^{2\ell} e^{\lambda c_2 E} \lambda^{-2\ell} \quad \text{for } r < \frac{1}{4}d(v), |t| < \lambda \text{ and any } \lambda \leq \frac{1}{4}d(v), \quad (3.15)$$

where c_5 depends neither on v nor on u . Choosing t to be purely imaginary, this means

$$|f_r(v+iu)| \leq c_5(1+E)^{2\ell} e^{\lambda c_2 E} \lambda^{-2\ell} \quad \text{for } v^2 < 0, u \in \mathcal{C}, \|u\| < \lambda, \lambda \leq \frac{1}{4}d(v), r < \frac{1}{4}d(v). \quad (3.16)$$

The same estimate holds at the real points ($u = 0$), setting $t = 0$ in Eq. (3.15).

As mentioned above, f_r possesses an analytic continuation to a complex neighborhood of any real spacelike z , provided that r is sufficiently small for z . This continuation is known very explicitly (see the proof of the edge of the

wedge theorem in Ref. 14 for details); in fact, the domain of holomorphy depends only on the geometry of $\mathcal{C}$, and the estimate (3.16) can be carried over to the continued function, showing that $\{f_r\}_{r \leq 1}$ is a normal family throughout its domain.

We are now in the position to control the limit $r \rightarrow 0$. Since $\|R^{-2\ell} \exp(iP_\mu z^\mu)\| < \infty$ for $\text{Im } z \in \mathcal{V}_+$, the expression

$$f(z) := \sigma(U(x) \phi U(z) \phi' U(-x-z)) \quad (3.17)$$

is well-defined for $z \in \mathcal{M} + i\mathcal{V}_+$. Using the approximation properties (2.8) of A_r and A'_r , and applying the same methods that lead to Eq. (3.14), we can establish the estimate

$$|f(z) - f_r(z)| \leq c_6(1+E)^{2\ell} e^{c_2 E |\text{Im } t|} |\text{Im } t|^{-2\ell} \cdot r$$

$$\text{for } z = v + itu, v \in \mathcal{M}, u \in \mathcal{C}, \|u\| = 1, |t| < \frac{1}{2}d(v), r \leq 1. \quad (3.18)$$

Combined with similar estimates for $\|\text{Im } z\| > 1$, we see in particular that $f_r(z) \rightarrow f(z)$ for $z \in \mathcal{M} + i\mathcal{V}_+$. In analogy to (3.10), we may define $f(z)$ on $\mathcal{M} - i\mathcal{V}_+$; pointwise convergence $f_r \rightarrow f$ and estimates of the form (3.18) hold there, too. Now, since $\{f_r\}_{r \leq 1}$ is a normal family, we know that f_r converges to some analytic limit throughout its domain, where the convergence is uniform on compact subsets; so f has an analytic continuation to that region, and $f_r \rightarrow f$ holds in particular at the real points. Thus the limit

$$\sigma(\phi(x) \cdot \phi'(y)) := \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} f_r(y-x) \quad (3.19)$$

is well-defined and – cf. Eq. (3.17) – independent of the choice of sequences A_r, A'_r . This definition obviously is linear in σ ; so $\phi(x) \cdot \phi'(y)$ is a well-defined linear form on $P_H(E)\Sigma P_H(E)$ for any E . In view of Eq. (3.16), the following estimate holds:

$$\|P_H(E) \phi(x) \cdot \phi'(y) P_H(E)\| \leq c_5(1+E)^{2\ell} e^{\lambda c_2 E} \lambda^{-2\ell} \quad \text{for } (x-y)^2 < 0, \lambda \leq \frac{1}{4}d(x-y). \quad (3.20)$$

Applying this result with $\lambda = (c_2 E)^{-1}$ for $(c_2 E)^{-1} < \frac{1}{4}d(x-y)$, and with $\lambda = \frac{1}{4}d(x-y)$ otherwise, we obtain a $c_7 > 0$ such that

$$\|P_H(E) \phi(x) \cdot \phi'(y) P_H(E)\| \leq c_7(1+E)^{4\ell} d(x-y)^{-2\ell} \quad \text{for any } E > 0. \quad (3.21)$$

Using the spectral representation of R , one can show on these grounds that $\phi(x) \cdot \phi'(y) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma)^*$, and

$$\|\phi(x) \cdot \phi'(y)\|^{(4\ell+1)} \leq c_8 d(x-y)^{-2\ell}. \quad (3.22)$$

The same methods can be applied to quantify the convergence $A_r(x)A'_r(y) \rightarrow \phi(x) \cdot \phi'(y)$. Starting from Eq. (3.18) and using the arguments that lead to Eqs. (3.16) and (3.21), we arrive at the estimate

$$\|\phi(x) \cdot \phi'(y) - A_r(x)A'_r(y)\|^{(4\ell+1)} \leq c_9 d(x-y)^{-2\ell} r \quad \text{for } r < \frac{1}{4}d(x-y). \quad (3.23)$$

To summarize, we have established the following result:

Theorem 3.1. *For $\phi, \phi' \in \Phi_{\text{FH}}$, there exist linear forms*

$$\phi(x) \cdot \phi'(y) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma)^* \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathcal{M}, (x-y)^2 < 0,$$

with the following properties: Given γ , we can choose constants $\ell, m > 0$ and for any fixed $\phi, \phi' \in \Phi_\gamma$ another constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\|\phi(x) \cdot \phi'(y)\|^{(m)} \leq c d(x-y)^{-2\ell}.$$

If $A_r, A'_r \in \mathfrak{A}(r)$ are sequences of operators with $\|\phi^{[l]} - A_r^{[l]}\|^{(\ell)} = O(r)$, then

$$\|\phi(x) \cdot \phi'(y) - A_r(x)A'_r(y)\|^{(m)} \leq O(r) d(x-y)^{-2\ell},$$

where the estimate $O(r)$ is uniform in x, y , given that $r < \frac{1}{4}d(x-y)$.

Note that the spacelike product usually diverges as $x \rightarrow y$, say, on a straight line, but that the singularity is bounded by an inverse power of $d(x-y)$.

B. Proof of the expansion

Based on the detailed results established for the spacelike product $\phi(x) \cdot \phi'(y)$, we are now going to prove the operator product expansion for this case, making use of the phase space approximation

$$\delta_\gamma(\Xi - \Xi \circ p_{\gamma*}) = 0. \quad (3.24)$$

We follow the heuristic motivation given at the beginning of this section. To be precise, let $\gamma \geq 0$ be given, and let $\phi, \phi' \in \Phi_\gamma$. As before, we choose approximating sequences $A_r, A'_r \in \mathfrak{A}(r)$ with

$$\|\phi^{[r]} - A_r^{[r]}\|^{(\ell)} = O(r), \quad \|A_r^{[r]}\| = O(r^{-k}), \quad (3.25)$$

where $k, \ell > 0$ are suitably chosen; note that we can choose them dependent on γ only.

First of all, we will approximate the bounded product $A_r(x)A'_r(y)$. Let $\gamma' > 0$ (its value will be specified later), and fix a projector $p_{\gamma'}$ onto $\Phi_{\gamma'}$. Since $A_r(x)A'_r(y) \in \mathfrak{A}(r + 2\|x\| + 2\|y\|)$, it follows from Eqs. (3.24) and (3.25) that for some $m > 0$ (dependent on γ),

$$\|p_{\gamma'}(A_r(x)A'_r(y)) - A_r(x)A'_r(y)\|^{(m)} \leq (r + 2\|x\| + 2\|y\|)^{\gamma'} O(r^{-2k}). \quad (3.26)$$

Since $\Phi_{\gamma'}$ is finite dimensional, we can find $m' \geq m$ such that $\|p_{\gamma'}\|^{(m, m')} < \infty$; in fact, this choice depends on γ' only. Now on the left-hand side of Eq. (3.26), $A_r(x)A'_r(y)$ converges to $\phi(x) \cdot \phi'(y)$ in the limit $r \rightarrow 0$: In view of Theorem 3.1, one sees that

$$\|p_{\gamma'}(\phi(x) \cdot \phi'(y)) - \phi(x) \cdot \phi'(y)\|^{(m')} \leq (r + 2\|x\| + 2\|y\|)^{\gamma'} O(r^{-2k}) + d(x-y)^{-2\ell} O(r), \quad (3.27)$$

given that $r < \frac{1}{4}d(x-y)$.

We will now consider the limit $x, y \rightarrow 0$, where we assume that

$$d(x-y) \geq (\|x\| + \|y\|) \cdot \text{const}, \quad (3.28)$$

i.e., we demand that $x-y$ does not approach the light cone too fast. We will refer to this approximation as the *spacelike limit* and denote it by $\xrightarrow{\text{sp}}$. Now given some $\beta > 0$, we set

$$r(x, y) := (\|x\| + \|y\|)^{1+\beta+2\ell}, \quad (3.29)$$

which fulfills $r < \frac{1}{4}d(x-y)$ for small x, y due to Eq. (3.28). If now γ' was chosen sufficiently large (dependent on β), we see that (3.27) vanishes faster than $(\|x\| + \|y\|)^\beta$ in the spacelike limit. Thus, we have achieved the following.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\gamma > 0, \beta > 0$ be given. We can find constants $\gamma' > 0$ and $\ell > 0$ such that for any $\phi, \phi' \in \Phi_\gamma$ and any projection $p_{\gamma'}$ onto $\Phi_{\gamma'}$:*

$$(\|x\| + \|y\|)^{-\beta} \|\phi(x) \cdot \phi'(y) - p_{\gamma'}(\phi(x) \cdot \phi'(y))\|^{(\ell)} \xrightarrow{\text{sp}} 0.$$

This establishes the operator product expansion – as explained at the beginning of this section, we may expand $p_{\gamma'}$ in a basis in order to pass to the more explicit form (3.1). In mathematical terms, product expansions are asymptotic series; while with increasing β we will usually have to increase γ' , to any finite approximation order β a finite “number of approximation terms” will suffice. Note that the approximation terms are not unique (since $p_{\gamma'}$ is not); however, the ambiguities are restricted to terms that vanish rapidly in the limit, so at least the singular structure of an operator product expansion can be understood as an intrinsic property of the theory. It is also worth noting that the approximation is not only valid in the weak sense, as originally proposed in Ref. 2, but holds uniformly for all states of sufficiently regular high energy behavior.

Furthermore, the coefficients of the product expansion are simply matrix elements of the spacelike product $\phi(x) \cdot \phi'(y)$; thus, we can apply Theorem 3.1 directly, which shows that their divergences at $x = y = 0$ are bounded by an inverse power of $d(x-y)$.

C. Further directions

The results established in Secs. III A and III B can be generalized in many ways. For the sake of brevity, we will just sketch these findings; the reader is referred to Chap. 5 of Ref. 10 for details of the construction.

First, we may consider products of arbitrary many factors; though we restricted ourselves to the case of two factors in the above, our methods carry over quite directly. In the following, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma \geq 0$ be given. It seems natural not only to consider n -fold products, but also their linear combinations; by the same methods as outlined in Sec. III A, we may define spacelike products

$$\Pi(x) = \sum_k c_k \phi_k^{(1)}(x^{(1)}) \cdots \phi_k^{(n)}(x^{(n)}) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma)^*, \quad \text{where } c_k \in \mathbb{C}, \phi_k^{(j)} \in \Phi_\gamma. \quad (3.30)$$

This expression is multilinear in the fields $\phi_k^{(j)}$ [note that the products $\phi(x) \cdot \phi'(y)$ were bilinear in ϕ, ϕ' by definition], so we can formally obtain the products from a map $\Pi \mapsto \Pi(x)$ which is well-defined on the tensor product space $\Phi_\gamma^{\otimes n}$. In Eq. (3.30), we must demand that the components of $x = (x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}) \in \mathcal{M}^n$ are (pairwise) spacelike separated. More abstractly, let

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{sp}}^n := \{x \in \mathcal{M}^n \mid (x^{(i)} - x^{(j)})^2 < 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}, \quad (3.31)$$

and define

$$d(x) := \min \{1, \text{dist}(x, \partial \mathcal{M}_{\text{sp}}^n)\}; \quad (3.32)$$

we then demand of the spacelike limit $x \xrightarrow{\text{sp}} 0$ that

$$x \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{sp}}^n, \quad \|x\| \leq d(x) \cdot \text{const.}, \quad x \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.33)$$

where $\|x\|$ stands for the Euclidean norm of x in $\mathcal{M}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n(s+1)}$. Following the line of arguments given in Sec. III A, the divergence of the spacelike products can be estimated as

$$\|\Pi(x)\|^{(n \cdot m)} = O(d(x)^{-n \cdot \ell}) \quad (x \xrightarrow{\text{sp}} 0), \quad (3.34)$$

where m, ℓ depend on γ only. Finally, we may obtain the following analog of Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\gamma > 0$, $\beta > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. We can find constants $\gamma' > 0$ and $\ell > 0$ such that for any $\Pi \in \Phi_\gamma^{\otimes n}$ and any projection $p_{\gamma'}$ onto $\Phi_{\gamma'}$,*

$$\|x\|^{-\beta} \|\Pi(x) - p_{\gamma'} \Pi(x)\|^{(\ell)} \xrightarrow{\text{sp}} 0.$$

Hence product expansions exist for products of an arbitrary (finite) number of fields.

Moreover, similar expansions can be established for arbitrary (not necessarily spacelike) distances of arguments. Here the field products are defined in the sense of distributions only, i.e., we replace $\Pi(x)$ with $\Pi(f) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma)^*$, where $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M}^n)$ is a test function with compact support. Theorem 3.3 holds in an analogous way, where $\|x\|$ is substituted with

$$d(f) := \sup\{\|x\| \mid x \in \text{supp } f\}; \quad (3.35)$$

instead of the spacelike limit, we consider the limit $d(f) \rightarrow 0$, and we must require that for every multi-index μ , a constant c_μ exists such that

$$\|\partial^\mu f\|_{L_1} \leq d(f)^{-|\mu|} c_\mu \quad \text{as } d(f) \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.36)$$

So the product expansions can be extended to the non-space-like region, where their coefficients are no longer functions, but rather tempered distributions.

Furthermore, one may investigate the action of symmetry transformations on the products and their expansions, demanding that these transformations are compatible with translations and with the product structure on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ (in a suitably defined way – see Chap. 5.4 of Ref. 10; these conditions are, e.g., fulfilled for Lorentz transforms, dilations and inner symmetries). The results are compatible with what is expected from perturbation theory.¹⁵

IV. ZIMMERMANN'S NORMAL PRODUCTS

In the preceding section, we have defined and analyzed products of fields at different (spacelike separated) space-time points, and investigated their divergences at small distances. However, with possible applications in mind, one

would like to develop some substitute for the ill-defined product at coinciding points in the sense of a local field (i.e., an element of Φ_{FH}). In free field theory, such a substitute is given by means of the Wick product, e.g., the normal ordered square $:\phi^2:(x)$ of a real scalar field. According to Wick's theorem,¹⁶ it can be constructed from spacelike products by subtraction of divergent terms,

$$:\phi^2:(0) = \lim_{x,y \rightarrow 0} (\phi(x) \cdot \phi(y) - (\Omega | \phi(x) \cdot \phi(y) | \Omega) \mathbb{1}). \quad (4.1)$$

In interacting theories, one would not expect such a limit to exist; nevertheless, similar “subtraction methods” combined with a suitable “renormalization factor” can be used at least in a perturbative context to justify the existence of local field equations.^{17,18} Zimmermann³ used operator product expansions to derive these constructions more generally: For any field ϕ_k occurring in the expansion (1.1), one obtains heuristically

$$\phi_k(0) = \lim_{x,y \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{c_k(x-y)} \left(\phi(x) \cdot \phi'(y) - \sum_{j \neq k} c_j(x-y) \phi_j\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \right), \quad (4.2)$$

provided that the coefficient c_k does not vanish in the limit. So every “composite field operator” ϕ_k that appears in the expansion can serve as a candidate for a normal product.

Let us see how this can be formalized in our context. We fix a product $\Pi \in \Phi_{\text{FH}}^{\otimes n}$ and try to collect all “relevant” terms in its product expansion. To this end, we make the following definition: We say that a finite-dimensional subspace $V \subset C^\infty(\Sigma)^*$ is *spacelike approximating* for Π if for some projection p_V onto V and some $\ell > 0$,

$$\|\Pi(x) - p_V \Pi(x)\|^{(\ell)} \xrightarrow{\text{sp}} 0. \quad (4.3)$$

It can easily be seen, using the triangle inequality, that then the same is true for *any* projection p_V onto V . In view of Theorem 3.3, Φ_γ always is spacelike approximating (sp-app) for Π if γ is chosen sufficiently large. Moreover, a short calculation shows that if V and W are two spaces which are sp-app for Π , then the same holds for $V \cap W$; this is easily extended to the intersection $\bigcap_{i \in I} V_i$ of an arbitrary family $\{V_i\}_{i \in I}$ of sp-app spaces, even if I is infinite. (Note that all spaces in question are finite dimensional.) That justifies the following definition.

Definition 4.1. Let $\Pi \in \Phi_{\text{FH}}^{\otimes n}$. The finite-dimensional space

$$N[\Pi] := \bigcap_{V \text{ sp-app for } \Pi} V \subset \Phi_{\text{FH}}$$

is called the normal product space of Π . It is the smallest space that is spacelike approximating for Π .

Let p be a projection onto $N[\Pi]$, and choose a basis $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^J$ of $N[\Pi]$. Since $N[\Pi] \subset \Phi_{\text{FH}}$, the basis elements ϕ_j are local Wightman fields. Expanding p in this basis, we find functions $c_j(x)$ such that

$$p\Pi(x) = \sum_{j=1}^J c_j(x) \phi_j. \quad (4.4)$$

Due to the minimality of $N[\Pi]$, none of the coefficients $c_j(x)$ vanishes in the spacelike limit. Thus for every $k \in \{1, \dots, J\}$, we can find a sequence (x_n) with $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{sp}} 0$ such that

$$\phi_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{c_k(x_n)} \left(\Pi(x_n) - \sum_{j \neq k} c_j(x_n) \phi_j \right) \quad (4.5)$$

with respect to some norm $\|\cdot\|^{(\ell)}$; we have recovered Zimmermann's approximation formula.

$N[\Pi]$ is a normal product not in the sense of a single field, but as a vector space containing all possible candidates for such a normal product field. In the case of a real scalar free field $\phi(x)$, one obtains the result (cf. Chap. 5.7 of Ref. 10)

$$N[\phi \otimes \phi] = \text{span}\{\mathbb{1}, :\phi^2: \}, \quad (4.6)$$

so the normal product space gives us some generalization of the Wick product. In free field theory, it is possible to choose a distinct element $:\phi^2: \in N[\phi \otimes \phi]$ by virtue of “normal ordering” or of Eq. (4.1). This structure is lost in the general case, as is suggested by perturbation theory and low-dimensional integrable models. Certainly, for specific applications, there may be additional restrictions on the choice of a normal product field. One can try to isolate a

“most divergent term” in the product expansion, seek for specific representations of the Lorentz group¹⁹ (see also below), or use field equations as selection criteria.¹⁸ Still, some ambiguities may remain;²⁰ in our general setting, it does not seem possible to establish a full substitute for the Wick product.

We can slightly modify the methods developed above in order to define “extended” normal product spaces $N[\Pi]_\beta$ for $\beta \geq 0$, requiring that the left-hand side of Eq. (4.3) vanishes faster than $\|x\|^\beta$ in the limit. That provides us with an increasing sequence of vector spaces $N[\Pi]_0 \subset N[\Pi]_1 \subset \dots$ containing higher and higher order composite field operators of some fixed product Π . This construction has recently found application in a characterization of nonequilibrium thermodynamical states.²¹

We shall now investigate the behavior of $N[\Pi]$ under Lorentz transformations or other symmetries and under differential operators. All these cases will be treated within a single concept. We consider a transformation α which acts in three different ways (denoted by the same symbol for simplicity),

- (i) a linear, continuous map $\alpha : \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma)^* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma)^*$,
- (ii) linear maps $\alpha : \Phi_{\text{FH}}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \Phi_{\text{FH}}^{\otimes n}$ (for every n),
- (iii) an invertible action $x \mapsto \alpha.x$ on $\mathcal{M}^n$ (for every n)

with the following properties:

- (1) $\alpha(\Pi(x)) = (\alpha\Pi)(\alpha.x) \quad \forall \Pi \in \Phi_{\text{FH}}^{\otimes n}, n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathcal{M}^n$,
- (2) $\alpha.x \xrightarrow{\text{sp}} 0 \iff x \xrightarrow{\text{sp}} 0$,
- (3) $\|\alpha\|^{(\ell, \ell')} < \infty$ for any $\ell > 0$ and appropriate $\ell' > 0$ (dependent on ℓ).

We shall show that under these conditions, one has $\alpha N[\Pi] = N[\alpha\Pi]$; applications of this “covariance property” will be discussed below. As a first step, we shall prove the following lemma:

Lemma 4.2. *Let $V \subset \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma)^*$ be a finite-dimensional subspace. There exist projections p onto V and p' onto αV such that*

$$\alpha \circ p = p' \circ \alpha.$$

Proof. Let $K := \ker \alpha \cap V$. Choose a space $\hat{V}$ such that $V = K \oplus \hat{V}$. Furthermore, choose projections p_K onto K such that $p_K|_{\hat{V}} = 0$, and p' onto $\alpha V = \alpha\hat{V}$. (This is certainly possible, since V is finite-dimensional.) Denote the inverse of $\alpha : \hat{V} \rightarrow \alpha\hat{V}$ by $\hat{\alpha}^{-1}$. We define

$$p := p_K + \hat{\alpha}^{-1} \circ p' \circ \alpha. \quad (4.7)$$

A short calculation shows $p^2 = p$, $\text{img } p = V$, $\alpha \circ p = p' \circ \alpha$, so p has the properties desired. $\square$

Now we are in the position to prove the “covariance” of $N[\Pi]$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let α fulfill the conditions (1) to (3) listed above. Then*

$$N[\alpha\Pi] = \alpha N[\Pi] \quad \forall \Pi \in \Phi_{\text{FH}}^{\otimes n}, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Let $V \subset \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma)^*$ be sp-app for Π , and p, p' projections as in Lemma 4.2. Then for sufficiently large ℓ, ℓ' , we have

$$\|(\alpha\Pi)(\alpha.x) - p'(\alpha\Pi)(\alpha.x)\|^{(\ell)} = \|\alpha(\Pi(x) - p\Pi(x))\|^{(\ell)} \leq \|\alpha\|^{(\ell, \ell')} \|\Pi(x) - p\Pi(x)\|^{(\ell')} \xrightarrow{\text{sp}} 0; \quad (4.8)$$

due to property (2), this means that αV is sp-app for $\alpha\Pi$. Hence $N[\alpha\Pi] \subset \alpha N[\Pi]$.

To show the opposite inclusion, split $V := N[\Pi]$ into a direct sum

$$N[\Pi] = V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2, \quad (4.9)$$

where $V_0 = \ker \alpha \cap V, \quad \alpha V_1 = N[\alpha\Pi], \quad \alpha V_2 \cap N[\alpha\Pi] = \{0\}.$

Let $p_i : V \rightarrow V_i$ ($i=0,1,2$) denote the projection operators with respect to that direct sum, and let $p'_i : \alpha V \rightarrow \alpha V_i$ ($i=1,2$) be the projections with regard to the direct sum $\alpha V = \alpha V_1 \oplus \alpha V_2$. We then have $p'_i \alpha = \alpha p_i$ for $i=1,2$. We choose projections p, p' as in Lemma 4.2; then $p_i \circ p : \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma) \rightarrow V_i$ are projections onto V_i , and $p'_i \circ p' : \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma) \rightarrow \alpha V_i$

are projections onto αV_i . Now, since both αV and $\alpha V_1 = N[\alpha \Pi]$ are sp-app for $\alpha \Pi$, we see that for sufficiently large ℓ ,

$$\|(\alpha \Pi)(x) - (p'_1 + p'_2)p'(\alpha \Pi)(x)\|^{(\ell)} \xrightarrow{\text{sp}} 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|(\alpha \Pi)(x) - p'_1 p'(\alpha \Pi)(x)\|^{(\ell)} \xrightarrow{\text{sp}} 0, \quad (4.10)$$

which means that

$$\|p'_2 p'(\alpha \Pi)(x)\|^{(\ell)} \xrightarrow{\text{sp}} 0. \quad (4.11)$$

Using the relation $p'_2 p' \alpha = \alpha p_2 p$ together with properties (1) and (2), and noting that α is invertible on αV_2 , it follows that

$$\|p_2 p \Pi(x)\|^{(\ell)} \xrightarrow{\text{sp}} 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|\Pi(x) - (p_0 + p_1)p \Pi(x)\|^{(\ell)} \xrightarrow{\text{sp}} 0; \quad (4.12)$$

thus $V_0 \oplus V_1$ is sp-app for Π . Due to the minimality of $N[\Pi]$, this is only possible if $V_2 = \{0\}$; hence $\alpha N[\Pi] = \alpha V_1 = N[\alpha \Pi]$. $\square$

The properties (1)–(3) requested for α are fulfilled by a number of relevant transformations.

Lorentz transformations: A Lorentz transformation $\alpha = \alpha(\Lambda)$ acts on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma)^*$ “as usual” [i.e. through $\text{ad } U(\Lambda)$], on $\Phi_{\text{FH}}^{\otimes n}$ in the same way on every tensor factor, and on $\mathcal{M}$ by $\alpha.x = \Lambda x$, which is extended to $\mathcal{M}^n$ componentwise. The properties (2) and (3) are obvious. Applying $\text{ad } U(\Lambda)$ to the approximating sequences in Eq. (3.4), it is also easy to see that $\alpha(\Pi(x)) = (\alpha \Pi)(\alpha.x)$. So Theorem 4.3 tells us that $N[\alpha(\Lambda)\Pi] = \alpha(\Lambda)N[\Pi]$; the normal product spaces are Lorentz covariant *as vector spaces*. Note that $N[\Pi]$ is not necessarily stable under $\alpha(\Lambda)$, since possibly $\Pi \neq \alpha(\Lambda)\Pi$; we would have to pass to a closure $\hat{N}[\Pi] = \text{span} \bigcup_{\Lambda} N[\alpha(\Lambda)\Pi]$ if we aim at a decomposition of $\Lambda \mapsto \alpha(\Lambda)$ into irreducible subrepresentations.

Other symmetries with “geometric action,” such as dilations $[\alpha(\lambda).x = \lambda x]$ and inner symmetries $(\alpha.x = x)$, show the same behavior as Lorentz transformations, as long as they are unitary implemented and fulfill certain regularity properties (cf. Lemma 5.5 of Ref. 10). Since for our construction, it suffices to use a local unitary implementation rather than a global one, it does not matter whether the symmetries are broken or unbroken.²²

Derivatives: To treat linear differential operators in our context, it suffices to consider first order operators D_μ , which act on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma)^*$ through $i[P_\mu, \cdot]$. Since they leave Φ_{FH} invariant,⁹ they also act on $\Phi_{\text{FH}}^{\otimes n}$ by a formal product rule. As D_μ satisfies the product rule on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$, one may establish

$$D_\mu(\Pi(x)) = (D_\mu \Pi)(x). \quad (4.13)$$

[To see this, note that the approximating sequences “ $A_r \rightarrow \phi$ ” can be chosen to be “smeared” with some test function f_r – compare the remark after the proof of Lemma 3.5 in Ref. 9 – such that $D_\mu A_r = D_\mu(\hat{A}_r(f_r)) = \hat{A}_r(-\partial_\mu f_r)$, so D_μ preserves the localization of the operator sequence.] Again, property (3) is obvious, so the differential operators D_μ fulfill properties (1) to (3), with D_μ acting trivially on $\mathcal{M}^n$. By concatenation and linear combination, the same is then true for linear differential operators D of arbitrary order. Hence we have

$$N[D\Pi] = DN[\Pi]. \quad (4.14)$$

The perturbative analog to this relation is known as *Lowenstein’s rule*.²³

V. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

In the course of the present paper, we have given a rigorous model-independent proof of operator product expansions, based on a physically motivated assumption that was formulated as a phase space condition. In this context, product expansions are asymptotic series in the short distance limit; their singular behavior is bounded by an inverse power. We have introduced normal products in the sense of vector spaces that consist of all fields contributing to the product expansion (up to a given level of accuracy). These vector spaces show the expected properties, such as Lorentz covariance and Lowenstein’s rule.

Originally, Wilson² proposed operator product expansions as a substitute for the Lagrangian, as a method of defining field theoretic models. Though it would seem exaggerated to aim at constructive approaches from our results, they might indeed serve as a basis for the classification of models. For example, they could give a well-defined sense to the concept of local field equations: The famous ϕ^4 equation

$$(\square + m^2)\phi = \lambda : \phi^3 :, \quad \lambda \neq 0, \quad (5.1)$$

well known in perturbation theory,¹⁸ can be introduced in our context as

$$(\Box + m^2)\phi \in N[\phi^{\otimes 3}] \setminus \mathbb{C}\phi. \quad (5.2)$$

At present, it is unknown whether such a relation is stringent enough to define a field theory (nor, in fact, whether it is compatible with any field theory at all). There is strong evidence²⁴ that in physical space-time, the standard lattice approximation approach does not lead to a theory that fulfills Eq. (5.2); however, other methods have been proposed that might result in such a solution.²⁵ Equation (5.2) at least allows us to pose the existence problem of ϕ_4^4 independent of specific construction schemes.

More generally, it seems interesting to what extent field equations – or other properties of product expansions – can define a field theory uniquely. One encounters some obvious obstructions here, since there exist nontrivial theories with a trivial field content²⁶ $\Phi_{\text{FH}} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$, which might always occur as a tensor factor. We can exclude these components, however, by defining the following subnet $\mathfrak{A}_F$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ which may be regarded as the “point field part” of the theory (as remarked in Ref. 9):

$$\mathfrak{A}_F(\mathcal{O}) := \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O})'', \quad (5.3)$$

where $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O})$ is the polynomial algebra generated by all $\phi(f)$ with $\phi \in \Phi_{\text{FH}}$, $\text{supp } f \subset \mathcal{O}$.

In models which are generated by observable point fields (such as the free-field examples in Ref. 9), we have $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}_F$, and one would hope to find a description of $\mathfrak{A}$ in terms of field equations or similar relations. In the presence of gauge fields, on the other hand, it might happen that $\mathfrak{A}_F \subsetneq \mathfrak{A}$, since $\mathfrak{A}$ may include inherently non-point-like observables like Wilson loops or Mandelstam strings. In this case, it is possible that the dynamics of the system cannot be described in terms of Φ_{FH} alone, but that field equations need to involve the extended objects mentioned. Still, it would be worthwhile to ask what physical properties (such as cross sections) are determined by $\mathfrak{A}_F$ only. However, the details of such an analysis remain vague at the present stage.

Acknowledgments

The author is indebted to D. Buchholz for his support and for many helpful hints and discussions.

The work has profited from financial support by Evangelisches Studienwerk, Villigst, which the author gratefully acknowledges.

-
- ¹ K. Fredenhagen and J. Hertel, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **80**, 555 (1981).
 - ² K. G. Wilson, *Phys. Rev.* **179**, 1499 (1969).
 - ³ W. Zimmermann, in *Lectures on Elementary Particles and Quantum Field Theory*, edited by S. Deser, M. Grisaru, and H. Pendleton (MIT Press, Cambridge, 1970), Vol. 1.
 - ⁴ For example, C. G. Callan and D. J. Gross, *Phys. Rev. D* **8**, 4383 (1973); C. Bernard, A. Duncan, J. LoSecco, and S. Weinberg, *ibid.* **12**, 792 (1975). See Ref. 15 for a survey.
 - ⁵ K. G. Wilson and W. Zimmermann, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **24**, 87 (1972); S. Schlieder and E. Seiler, *ibid.* **31**, 137 (1973); K. Baumann, *ibid.* **43**, 73 (1975).
 - ⁶ B. Schroer, J. A. Swieca, and A. H. Völkel, *Phys. Rev. D* **11**, 1509 (1975); G. Mack, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **53**, 155 (1977).
 - ⁷ M. Lüscher, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **50**, 23 (1976); K. Fredenhagen and M. Jörß, *ibid.* **176**, 541 (1996); M. Jörß, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **38**, 257 (1996).
 - ⁸ R. Haag and I. Ojima, *Ann. I.H.P. Phys. Theor.* **64**, 385 (1996).
 - ⁹ H. Bostelmann, *J. Math. Phys.* **46**, 052301 (2005).
 - ¹⁰ H. Bostelmann, thesis, Universität Göttingen, 2000, available online at: <http://webdoc.sub.gwdg.de/diss/2000/bostelmann/>
 - ¹¹ R. Haag, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **155**, 199 (1993).
 - ¹² R. Haag and J. A. Swieca, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **1**, 308 (1965); D. Buchholz and E. H. Wichmann, *ibid.* **106**, 321 (1986); D. Buchholz and M. Porrmann, *Ann. I.H.P. Phys. Theor.* **52**, 237 (1990).
 - ¹³ R. Haag, *Local Quantum Physics*, 2nd ed. (Springer, Berlin, 1996).
 - ¹⁴ R. F. Streater and A. S. Wightman, *PCT, Spin and Statistics, and All That* (Benjamin, New York, 1964), Chap. 2-5.
 - ¹⁵ S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996), Vol. II, Chap. 20.
 - ¹⁶ A. Wightman and L. Gårding, *Ark. Fys.* **28**, 129 (1965).
 - ¹⁷ J. G. Valatin, *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A* **226**, 254 (1954); R. A. Brandt, *Fortschr. Phys.* **18**, 249 (1970).
 - ¹⁸ W. Zimmermann, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **6**, 161 (1967); **10**, 325 (1968).
 - ¹⁹ C. M. Sommerfield, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **26**, 1 (1963).
 - ²⁰ K. Johnson, *Nuovo Cimento* **20**, 773 (1961).

- ²¹ D. Buchholz, I. Ojima, and H. Roos, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **297**, 219 (2002).
- ²² Compare D. Buchholz, S. Doplicher, and R. Longo, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **170**, 1 (1986).
- ²³ G. Keller and C. Kopper, Commun. Math. Phys. **148**, 445 (1992).
- ²⁴ J. Fröhlich, Nucl. Phys. B **200**, 281 (1982).
- ²⁵ J. R. Klauder, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 3051 (1994); Lett. Math. Phys. **63**, 229 (2003).
- ²⁶ M. Lutz, Diplomarbeit (diploma thesis), Universität Hamburg, 1997. Compare also the appendix of Ref. 9.